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• Brief history of OpenMP
• Requirements from modern computing architectures
• Development of OpenMP 4
  – Supporting new types of parallelism
  – Towards awareness of non-uniform memory access
• Challenges in achieving desired performance
• Future effort
What’s OpenMP

• The de-facto standard for shared-memory multiprocessing programming
  – The API consists of compiler directives and library routines for C/C++ and Fortran
  – Specification defined and maintained by the OpenMP Architecture Review Board
  – Implemented and supported by many compiler vendors

• Brief history
  – First version released in 1997
  – Current version 4.0 released in 2013
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OpenMP Programming Model

- **The Fork-and-Join model**
  - Global view of application memory space
  - Thread oriented with task support

- **Application and strength**
  - Often used for exploiting parallelism on a node
  - When mixed with MPI
    - Maps reasonably well with multicore architectures
  - Path for incremental parallelization
Within a node: mix of different processor and memory types
Modern Architecture and OpenMP

• Heterogeneous computing node
  – Multi-core and/or many-core processors
  – Host processors attached with accelerator devices (such GPUs, Intel MIC)
  – Parallelism at multiple hardware levels
  – Non-uniform memory access, disjoint memory access

• Mismatch of OpenMP 3 with modern architecture
  – No concept of non-uniform memory access
  – No handle for disjoint memory
  – Unaware of parallelism at different hardware levels
    • SIMD/MIMD parallelism
  – No support for accelerators

• OpenMP 4 was developed to overcome many of the deficiencies
New Features in OpenMP 4

- Support for accelerator devices (*target* construct)
- Initial support for error model (*cancel* construct)
- Task dependences (*depend* clause)
- Deep task synchronization (*taskgroup* construct)
- Fortran 2003 initial support
- User-defined reduction (*declare reduction* construct)
- SIMD extensions for vector parallelism (*simd* construct)
- Thread affinity (*proc_bind* clause and *OMP_PLACES*)
- Further enhancement to atomic operations
- Display OpenMP environment variables
New Features in OpenMP 4

- Support for accelerator devices (target construct)*
- Initial support for error model (cancel construct)
- Task dependences (depend clause)
- Deep task synchronization (taskgroup construct)
- Fortran 2003 initial support
- User-defined reduction (declare reduction construct)
- SIMD extensions for vector parallelism (simd construct)*
- Thread affinity (proc_bind clause and OMP_PLACES)*
- Further enhancement to atomic operations
- Display OpenMP environment variables

* Focus of this talk
Programming Consideration for Accelerator Devices

• **Host driven model**
  – Host initiates execution
  – Host offloads work to devices
  – Host manages data transfer between host and devices

• **Two types parallelism on accelerators:**
  – **SIMD** – single instruction multiple data, such as
    • Threads in a single warp or single thread block in NVIDIA GPUs
    • Vector processing units in Intel Xeon Phis
  – **MIMD** – multiple instructions multiple data, such as
    • Multiple thread blocks executed in parallel on different NVIDIA multiprocessors
    • Threads spread across multiple cores

• **Concurrency between host and devices**
• **Disjoint memory between host and devices**
The Offloading Model

- **Host offloads task to accelerator**
  - The task is defined by the `target` construct

- **Host manages data transfer (mapping)**
  - Via the `map` clause
  - *Allocating* device data and *transferring to* device at entry to the `target` region
  - *Transferring from* device and *deallocating* device data at exit from the `target` region

- **Host waits for completion of the target region on device**

**In C:**

```c
int n,i;
float a,*x,*y,*z;
#pragma omp target
  map(to:x(:n),y(:n)) map(from:z(:n))
for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
  z[i] = a*x[i] + y[i];
```

**In Fortran:**

```fortran
integer :: n,i
real :: a,x(n),y(n),z(n)
!$omp target &
!$omp& map(to:x,y) map(from:z)
do i = 1, n
  z(i) = a*x(i) + y(i)
end do
```
Target Execution on Device

- Target region is executed by a single team of threads by default
- Inside the target region follows the regular OpenMP execution model
  - May contain other OpenMP constructs except for the target related constructs
- Multiple teams may be defined by the teams construct
  - Mapped to MIMD parallelism on GPUs
  - Each team has its own contention group for thread synchronization
  - The distribute construct distributes loop iterations over teams

**In C:**
```c
int n,i;
float a,*x,*y,*z;
#pragma omp target teams
map(to:x(n),y(n)) map(from:z(n))
{
  #pragma omp distribute parallel
  for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
    z[i] = a*x[i] + y[i];
}
```

**In Fortran:**
```fortran
integer :: n,i
real :: a,x(n),y(n),z(n)
!$omp target teams &
!$omp& map(to:x,y) map(from:z)
!$omp distribute parallel do
  do i = 1, n
    z(i) = a*x(i) + y(i)
  end do
!$omp end target teams
```
Cost of Data Transfer

- The MG offload codes on Intel Xeon Phi

- Three different versions with different granularity for offloading
  - One OpenMP loop, many data transfers
  - One subroutine, rest on host
  - Whole computation with single data transfer at the beginning and at the end

- Overhead from data transfer can be substantial

Saini et al. at the SC13 conference.
- Performance of acc directive is close to that of the cuda versions when not considering data transfer between the host and the device
- When considering data transfer, GPU performance is about the same as the host
Reducing Data Transfer

- **Target data region**
  - Specified by the *target data* construct
  - Allocating and transferring device data via the *map* clause
  - Codes executed by host, not by device

- **Declare target directive**
  - Allocates global data on device
  - Declares device functions/procedures

- **The present test**
  - No implicit data transfer at *target* and *target data* constructs if a variable is already mapped either by *target data* construct or by *declare target* directive

- **Target update construct**
  - Performs explicit data transfer

---

*C Example:*

```c
int n,i;
float a,*x,*y,*z;
init(x, y, n);
#pragma omp target data
   map(to:x[:n],y[:n]) map(from:z[:n])
{
   #pragma omp target
   #pragma omp parallel for
   for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
       z[i] = a*x[i] + y[i];
   init again(x, y, n);
   #pragma omp target update
      to(x[:n],y[:n])
   #pragma omp target
   #pragma omp parallel for
   for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
       z[i] += a*x[i] + y[i];
}
output(z, n);
```
Asynchronous Execution

- **Purpose**
  - Overlap host and device computation
  - Hide data transfer overhead

- **Synchronous execution of target construct**
  - Host task has to wait for the completion of the offloaded code

- **Indirect solution**
  - Wrap target construct inside a task
  - Use `taskwait` to synchronize execution
  - Carry all the baggage of the tasking model
    - Task data environment

- **C Example:**

```c
int n,i;
float a,*x,*y,*z,*p;

init(x, y, n);
#pragma omp task shared(x,y)
{
  #pragma omp target
    map(to:x[:n],y[:n]) map(from:z[:n])
  #pragma omp parallel for
    for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
      z[i] = a*x[i] + y[i];
}
#pragma omp task shared(p)
  compute_p(p, n);
#pragma omp taskwait
output(z, p, n);
```
**SIMD Parallelism**

- **Single Instruction Multiple Data (SIMD) or vector instruction**
  - Process multiple data in one instruction
  - Supported on many types of hardware

- **Compiler auto-vectorization in general**
  - Inner-most loop
  - No function calls
  - Independent loop iterations
  - Compiler directives as hints

- **SIMD in OpenMP**
  - For cases where compiler cannot perform auto-vectorization
  - Prescriptive in nature, user responsible for correctness
  - Support for function calls

```c
for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
    z[i] = x[i] + y[i];
```

![vload(x) vadd vload(y) vstore(z)]
SIMD Loops

- **Simd** construct
  - Applies to a loop to indicate that multiple iterations of the loop can be executed concurrently using SIMD instructions

- **Loop SIMD or distribute simd** construct
  - Specifies that a loop is first distributed among team of threads (loop SIMD) or teams (**distribute simd**) in chunks and then each chunk is applied with the **simd** construct

A simple example:
```c
#pragma omp declare simd uniform(fact)
double add(double a, double b,
  double fact)
{ return (a + b + fact); }
void work(double *a, double *b, int n)
{ int i;
  #pragma omp simd
  for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
    a[i] = add(a[i], b[i], 1.0);
}
```

A more convoluted example:
```c
int n,i;
float *x,*y,*z;
init(x, y, n);
#pragma omp target teams \map(to:x(:n),y(:n)) map(from:z(:n))
{
  #pragma omp distribute simd
  for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
    z[i] = x[i] * y[i];
}
output(z, n);
```
Thread Affinity

- **Thread-processor binding**
  - Map OpenMP threads to hardware resources (such as cores)
  - Logical processor units via the **OMP_PLACES** environment variable
  - Affinity policy (**close, spread, master**) for threads in parallel regions
  - Handling thread affinity in nested parallel regions

- **Benefit**
  - May improve performance by reducing OS scheduling overhead and improving resource utilization
  - Reduce run-to-run timing variation

Example of using thread binding from two types of affinity settings to improve resource utilization.
Thread Affinity Types

Examples of `OMP_NUM_THREADS=8` on a node with two quad-core sockets with HyperThreading:

`OMP_PlACES=“{0,8},{1,9},{2,10},{3,11},{4,12},{5,13},{6,14},{7,15}”`

**proc_bind(close)** – better cache sharing between threads

**proc_bind(spread)** – maximizing memory bandwidth utilization

**proc_bind(master)** – assigning threads to the same place as the master

– “spread” usually gives better results for most cases
Challenges in Achieving Desired Performance

• **Software development**
  – For accelerator devices
    • Identify and offload hotspots
    • Minimize data transfer overhead
  – Exploiting sufficient parallelism at different levels to match with hardware
    • Cores, threads, vectors
  – Data structure consideration
    • Stride-one memory access
    • Cache blocking
  – Code modification is often required, but may not be portable

• **Application development**
  – Need enough parallelism to match with hardware
  – Potentially require different numerical algorithms
  – Concern about performance, load balance
## Timing Profile of SP on Pleiades-GPU

### Serial Codes
- **compute_rhs**: 16.21, 15.82, 126.84, 38.37, 3.47, 3.41, 1.72
- **x_solve**: 6.08, 6.90, 35.91, 25.77, 22.48, 7.56, 3.09, 2.55
- **y_solve**: 6.20, 6.26, 34.39, 20.51, 17.27, 2.07, 2.11, 1.74
- **z_solve**: 6.35, 6.99, 32.33, 20.78, 17.46, 2.45, 2.49, 1.71
- **add**: 1.09, 0.76, 16.56, 0.20, 0.20, 0.20, 0.20, 0.15
- **rest**: 3.88, 2.49, 57.89, 5.30, 0.94, 0.94, 0.95, 0.18
- **total**: 39.81, 39.22, 302.58, 110.56, 61.65, 16.63, 12.22, 8.03

### Simple-minded Directive Codes
- **compute_rhs**: 16.21, 15.82, 126.84, 38.37, 3.47, 3.41, 1.72
- **x_solve**: 6.08, 6.90, 35.91, 25.77, 22.48, 7.56, 3.09, 2.55
- **y_solve**: 6.20, 6.26, 34.39, 20.51, 17.27, 2.07, 2.11, 1.74
- **z_solve**: 6.35, 6.99, 32.33, 20.78, 17.46, 2.45, 2.49, 1.71
- **add**: 1.09, 0.76, 16.56, 0.20, 0.20, 0.20, 0.20, 0.15
- **rest**: 3.88, 2.49, 57.89, 5.30, 0.94, 0.94, 0.95, 0.18
- **total**: 39.81, 39.22, 302.58, 110.56, 61.65, 16.63, 12.22, 8.03

### Optimized Directive Codes
- **compute_rhs**: 16.21, 15.82, 126.84, 38.37, 3.47, 3.41, 1.72
- **x_solve**: 6.08, 6.90, 35.91, 25.77, 22.48, 7.56, 3.09, 2.55
- **y_solve**: 6.20, 6.26, 34.39, 20.51, 17.27, 2.07, 2.11, 1.74
- **z_solve**: 6.35, 6.99, 32.33, 20.78, 17.46, 2.45, 2.49, 1.71
- **add**: 1.09, 0.76, 16.56, 0.20, 0.20, 0.20, 0.20, 0.15
- **rest**: 3.88, 2.49, 57.89, 5.30, 0.94, 0.94, 0.95, 0.18
- **total**: 39.81, 39.22, 302.58, 110.56, 61.65, 16.63, 12.22, 8.03

- **GPUkrnl**: 0.00, 0.00, 59.37, 59.60, 59.78, 15.14, 10.32, 7.34
- **GPUcomm**: 0.00, 0.00, 243.21, 50.96, 1.87, 1.49, 1.90, 0.69

*Jin et al., at IWOMP2012.*

- **compute_rhs**: dominated by data transfer
- **GPUkrnl**: dominated by inefficient memory access
The simple and mlocal versions show much worse performance than baseline, dominated by data transfer between the host and the device.

The mirror version is limited by the kernel performance of the three solvers.

Code restructure in dim-prom and data-trans for better memory coalescing is the key for further improvement.
Remote Data Access and NUMA Effect

- Remote data access is more expensive
  - May cause memory access bottleneck
- Data layout and memory affinity are important

- Performance of BT from the NAS Parallel Benchmarks on the SGI Altix
- Four types of data layout based on how data are initially distributed
Future OpenMP Extensions

• **Work in progress within the OpenMP language committee**
  – Technical report on extensions for accelerator support by SC14
  – The 4.1 release targeted for SC15
  – Features considered for 5.0

• **New features under consideration**
  – Refinement to accelerator device support
    • Unstructured data movement
    • Asynchronous execution
    • Multiple device types
  – Full error model
  – Full Fortran 2003 support
  – Interoperability with other models (MPI, pthreads)
  – Support for NUMA
    • Memory affinity
  – Tools interface
Refinement to Accelerator Support

- **Unstructured data movement**
  - `target enter data` construct
  - `target exit data` construct

- **Asynchronous execution**
  - Better integration with the tasking model
    - `target task`
  - Flexible control via task dependency
    - `depend` clause on `target` construct
Summary

- **OpenMP has been moving beyond shared memory parallelism**
  - Support for accelerator devices
    - Many features were adopted from OpenACC
  - Ability to exploit hierarchical multi-level parallelism
    - MIMD via `teams` construct
    - Thread level via parallel loop construct
    - SIMD via `simd` construct

- **OpenMP’s new mission statement**
  “Standardize directive-based multi-language high-level parallelism that is performant, productive and portable.”

- **Compilers with OpenMP support are widely available**
  - Although support for 4.0 is still in work
Summary (cont.)

- Achieving desired performance in applications is still challenging
  - Exploiting multi-level parallelism
  - Reducing cost of data transfer between the host and the device
  - Optimizing memory accesses
    - Changes to code structure may be needed, but not always portable

- More experience is needed to experiment with the new features
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